M. A. Final Examination - 2023

Semester - II

Philosophy

MAPHILCP 203 - Philosophy of Language (Indian)

Duration: 3 Hrs. Max. Marks: 60

Questions are of value as indicated in the margin Answer any <u>FOUR</u> of the following questions:

- Explain the Sphotatheory of Bhartrhari and critically evaluate it in the light of later developments in Indian Philosophy of Language.
- Explain how the Nyayayikas understand the conditions of knowing sentence meaning with appropriate examples.
- 3. Explain the Theory of *Dhvani*. How was it received by the scholars? Add your comments. 8+4+4
- 4. Elucidate Mimamsa theory of *Bhavana*. What do you know about Bhartrhari's theory of *Sabdabrahman* as the metaphysical basis of language? Explain. 7.5 + 7.5
- 5. How were *Dhvani virodhi Vadas* were explained in the textual study of *Dhvanyaloka*? Critically evaluate their merits and demerits.

 9+6
- 6. Write a short note on the followings;

 $5 \times 3 = 15$

- a) Abhidha
- b) Apohavada
- c) Sabdabodha
- d) Lakshanna
- e) Vakyapadiya

M.A. Examination -2023

Semester-II

Philosophy

Course- MAPHILCP202

Contemporary Indian Philosophy

Time- Three Hours

Questions are of equal value or as indicated in the margin.

Full Marks-60

Answer any four questions from the following.

- What are the distinctive features of Vivekananda's notion of Hinduism? Do you think that his
 notion of Hinduism is the proper reflection of Hindu mind? Discuss critically. 10+5=15
- 2. Discuss after Sri Aurobindo the concept of Saccidananda. Do you think that his concept of Sacchidananda is equivalent to his concept of Supermind? Examine critically. 10+5=15
- Why did B.R. Ambedkar criticize caste system of Hindu society? Explain. How far is his outlook
 justifiable? Give a reasoned answer.
- 4. What is Self in Iqbal's philosophy? What does Iqbal actually mean by the stronger Self (buland khudi)? Expalin critically.

 5+10=15
- 5. Give an analytical account of Tagore's concept of 'the man of my heart' (praner manus). 15
- 6. Discuss after Gandhi the notion of *Swaraj*. How is Gandhi's notion of *satyagraha and ahimsa* conducive in achieving *sawaraj?* Discuss. 5+10=15

M.A. Examination 2023

Philosophy Semester – II Paper-MAPHILCP201 Ethics (Western)

Time: 3 hours

Full Marks: 60

Questions are of value as indicated in the margin.
Answer any four questions from the following

	20. 1916년 1918년 - 1918년 1일				
1.	Explain after Kant, the need for pure ethics.	15			
2.	이 생님이 한 경험에 가능하게 사용을 위하는 것으로 한 경험이 되는 이 때문에 되는 사람들이 되었다. 그는 것은 사람이 모든 그래 생각이 되었다. [20]	13			
۷.	Discuss the three principles of duty expounded by Kant.	15			
3.	State the first formulation Categorical Importation II				
	State the first formulation Categorical Imperative. How can we determine the moral status of an action with the help of this formulation?	of 15			
4.	Distinguish between perfect duty and imperfect duty after Kant. Show how such duties are in accordance with the Formula of the End in Itself.				
5.	What are the misunderstandings about 'Utilitarianism' cautioned by Mill? In what way did he transform the existing doctrine of Utilitarianism? 5+1				
6.	Write short notes on any three of the following:				
	a. 'Happiness cannot be the rational purpose of human life' as a criticism of Utilitarianism				
	b. Intuitive and inductive approaches of ethics	1			
	c. Ordinary practical reason				
	d. Popular philosophy				
	e. Difference between price and dignity (Kant)				

M.A. Examination 2023 Semester - II

Philosophy Paper -MAPHILCP204 LOGIC (Western)

fime: 3 Hours

Full Marks: 60

Questions are of value as indicated in the margin.

Answer any four questions from the following.

			destrons from the following.	
Ί.	a)	Wh.	nt is the difference between singly general and multiply-general propositions? Explain examples.	5
	b)	Stat	e and explain the Rule of UG along with its restrictions with suitable examples.	5
	c)		e and explain the Rule of El along with its restrictions with suitable examples.	5
2.	a)		at is symmetrical relation? Explain with examples.	5
			inguish between reflexive and totally reflexive relations with examples.	
			그렇게 하는 것이 있다는 것이 그렇게 되어 가게 되는 것이 없어 가게 하면 하면 하는 사람이 되었다. 그렇게 하는 사람들이 얼마나 얼마나 얼마나 얼마나 없었다.	5
	٠,		e that all asymmetrical relations must be irreflexive.	5
3.	a)	Syn	abolize each of the following propositions using the suggested notation:	$6 \times 2 = 12$
		i.,	If any officer is present, then either no majors are present or he is a major. (Ox: x is an officer. Px: x is present. Mx: x is a major.)	0.8.2.2.12
		ii.	If something is missing, then nobody calls the police, it will not be recovered. (Mx: x	
			is missing. Px: x is a person. Cx: x calls the police. Rx: x will not be recovered.)	
		iii.	If any husband is unsuccessful, then if some wives are ambitious, he will be unhappy.	
			(Hx: x is a husband. Sx: x is successful. Wx: x is a wife. Ax: x is ambitious. Ux: x is happy.)	
		iv.	A person is maintaining a nuisance if he has a dog that barks at everyone who visits its	
			owner. (Px: x is a person. Nx: x is a nuisance. Bxy: x barks at y. Vxy: x visits y. Hxy: x has y.)	
		v.	To catch a fish, one must have some bait. (Px: x is a person. Fx: x is a fish. Bx: x is	
			bait. Cxy: x catches y. Hxy: x has y.)	
		vi.	Anyone who promises everything to everyone is certain to disappoint somebody. (Px:	
			x is a person. Pxyz: x promises y to z. Dxy: x disappoints y.)	
	b)	Giv	e at least two examples of nonsymmetrical relations. Explain why they are called	$1.5 \times 2 = 3$
			symmetrical.	7 18 m

- 4. Construct a demonstration for each of the following:
 - a) $[(x)Fx \supset (y)Gy] = (y)(\exists x)(Fx \supset Gy)$
 - b) $(x)(\exists y)(Fx \vee Gy) = (\exists y)(x)(Fx \vee Gy)$
 - c) $[(\exists x)Fx \supset (\exists x)Gx] \supset (\exists x)(Fx \supset Gx)$
- 5. Construct a formal proof of validity for each of the following arguments (if needed, use suggested notation to make the symbolic formulas parallel to English as close as possible):
 - a) $(x)(y)[Rxy \supset (z)Szxy]$ $(x)(y)(z)[Szxy \supset (Tzx \cdot Tzy)]$

 $(x)Rxa / \therefore (x)(\exists y)(Syxa \cdot Tya)$

b) $(x)\{Ax \supset [(\exists y)By \supset Cx]\}$ $(x)\{Cx \supset [(\exists y)Dy \supset Ex]\}$

 $\div (\exists x)(Bx \cdot Fx) \supset [(y)(Fy \supset Dy) \supset (z)(Az \supset Ez)]$

- c) If all drugs are contaminated, then all negligent technicians are scoundrels. If there are any drugs that are contaminated, then all drugs are contaminated and unsafe. All germicides are drugs. Only the negligent are absent-minded. Therefore, if any technician is absent-minded, then if some germicides are contaminated, then he is a scoundrel. (Dx: x is a drug. Cx: x is contaminated. Nx: x is negligent. Tx: x is a technician. Sx: x is a scoundrel. Ux: x is unsafe. Gx: x is a germicide. Ax: x is absent-minded.)
- 6. a) Prove that each of the following arguments is invalid:

 $5 \times 2 = 10$

4.5

4.5

i. (3z)(Az·Bz)

 $(\exists z)(Cz\cdot Bz) / : (\exists z)(Az\cdot Cz)$

ii. $(\exists x)(\exists y)(Yx \supset Zy)$

 $(\exists y)(z)(Zy \supset Az) / : (\exists x)Yx \supset (z)Az$

b) What is meant by quantifier negation (QN)? Explain with examples.

5